



AUG 1 4 2002

TECH CENTER 160C/\_\_\_\_

Docket No.: 204936US0X

**SPIVAK McClelland MAIER NEUSTADT** P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

> RE: Application Serial No.: 09/810,506

> > Teruaki TAJI, et al. Applicants: March 19, 2001 Filing Date:

For: A METHOD FOR INCREASING STRESS-

RESISTANCE TO A PLANT

Group Art Unit: 1738 Examiner: COLLINS

RECEIVED

AUG 1 3 2002
TC 1700

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## **Response to Restriction Requirement**

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon Attorney of Record Registration No. 24,618

Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D. Registration No. 50,552

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

AUG 1 4 2002

Docket No.: 204936US0

TECH CENTER 1600/2301

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Teruaki TAJI, et al.

: EXAMINER: COLLINS

SERIAL NO.: 09/810,506

FILED: MARCH 19, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1638

FOR: A METHOD FOR INCREASING STRESS-RESISTANCE TO A PLANT

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

RECEIVED TC 132002 TC 1700 In response to the Official Action dated July 10, 2002, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group II, Claim 1, 3-7 and 9.

## **REMARKS**

The Office has required restriction in the present application as follows:

Claims 1-2 and 4-8, drawn to a method for increasing stress resistance to a Group I: plant which comprises introducing into a plant a glactinol synthetase gene encoding a protein of SEQ ID NO:1; and

Group II: Claims 1, 3-7 and 9, drawn to a method for increasing stress resistance to a plant which comprises introducing into a plant a glactinol synthetase gene encoding a protein of SEQ ID NO:2.

Applicants have elected Group II, Claim 1, 3-7 and 9, with traverse.

Applicants note that Groups I and II each contain a gene encoding for the glactinol synthetase gene. Accordingly, Groups I and II each describe gene sequences that encode different isoforms of the same protein, despite possessing divergent sequences, and as such these genes would necessarily be searched together and should not be separated.

Accordingly, there would not be a burden upon the Examiner to examine these groups together.

Applicants respectfully traverse on the additional grounds that the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctness between the identified groups.

Further, MPEP §803 states as follows:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on its merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Applicants submit that a search of all claims would not constitute a serious burden on the Office.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for examination on the merits, and early notice of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F Oblon

Attorney of Record

Registration No.: 24,618

Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D. Registration No.: 50,552

PHONE NO.: (703) 413-3000 FAX NO.: (703) 413-2220

NFO:VKS:

D:\204936US0-RR resp2.WPD

22850